

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,331	09/25/2003	Roy Istre JR.	hydra-36	4133
23599 75	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WH	IITE, ZELANO & BRA	RIVELL, JOHN A		
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			3753	
			D. (TD.) (A. II. ED. 01/06/000)	,

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,331	ISTRE, ROY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Rivell	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/9/ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdrawn is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11042005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Group B, Figures 7-8, claims 8-13 in the reply filed on November 9, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on November 9, 2005.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stehling et al. (cited by applicant) in view of McCue, Wheeler, Jr. and Kozey.

The patent to Stehling et al., in figure 7 for example, discloses a "sexless coupling member for connecting a fire hose to a fire hydrant or another pressurized source of water on a pumper truck, comprising: an internally threaded (50) collar portion disposed about an axis and having an internally threaded section..., the internally threaded section being adapted to receive an externally threaded neck of a fire hydrant outlet or a pumper truck outlet; a valve support portion at the end of the internally threaded collar, the valve support portion having a radially extending annular surface facing the first end of the internally threaded (50) collar and having an opening therethrough;...; a flapper valve (23) supported on a pintle (83) extending across the opening through the radially extending annular surface; an (inherent) internal ring portion... unitary with the internally threaded collar portion and aligned with the opening through the radially extending annular surface, the internal ring portion having a (support for the) pintle which supports the flapper valve,... and hooked lugs extending

axially from the external ring and arcuate grooves disposed between the internal and external rings, the hooked lugs and arcuate grooves being adapted to cooperate with a complementary sexless coupling on a hose" as recited in claim 8.

Thus the patent to Stehling et al. discloses all the claimed features with the exception of having 1) an externally threaded section, 2) a gasket seated against the radially extending annular surface and adapted to seal with the externally threaded neck of the fire hydrant outlet or pumper truck outlet; 3) an internal ring portion... with an external thread unitary with the internally threaded collar portion and aligned with the opening through the radially extending annular surface; 4) a pair of opposed holes therein for receiving the pintle... the holes being closed by plugs adjacent the external thread to prevent water passing around the pintles from passing through the holes to locations beyond the threads; and 5) "an external ring having a lower portion with an internal thread for threading with the external thread of the internal ring portion to hold the external ring in integral relation with the internally threaded collar, the externally threaded collar portion having at least one through bore extending therethrough for receiving a locking pin when aligned with the at least one blind second bore in the internal ring to prevent rotation of the external ring with respect to the internal ring after threading the external ring onto the internal ring, the at least one second blind bore having a bottom which prevents pressurized water within the coupling from escaping therefrom through the external ring; an annular seal adjacent to the external thread on the internal collar portion and the internal thread on the external collar portion for sealing between the threads of the internal ring portion and the threads of the external ring only at the juncture of the flats and threads".

Firstly, the patent to McCue discloses that it is known in the art to employ a seal element at 5 "a gasket seated against the radially extending annular surface and

adapted to seal with the externally threaded neck of the fire hydrant outlet or pumper truck outlet" for the purpose of a gasket seated against the radially extending annular surface and adapted to seal with the externally threaded neck of the fire hydrant outlet or pumper truck outlet.

McCue also discloses that it is known in the art to make the hydrant half of the Storz type coupling of two pieces including an upstream portion, including the internally threaded section 6 attached to the hydrant, and a downstream portion which includes the connecting hooks 11, 12, and interconnecting slots mating with the hooks of the other half of the coupling connected to the hose, the two portions being threaded together at 9 and including a fluid tight seal 10 therebetween for the purpose of allowing replacement of the hook portion of the coupling in the event of breakage without removal of the entire coupling half from the hydrant.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Stehling et al. a seal within the bottom at the right side end of the threaded section 50 for the purpose of a gasket seated against the radially extending annular surface and adapted to seal with the externally threaded neck of the fire hydrant outlet or pumper truck outlet and to employ a tow piece coupling half threaded together and sealed at the interconnection therebetween the purpose of allowing replacement of the hook portion of the coupling in the event of breakage without removal of the entire coupling half from the hydrant as recognized by McCue.

Secondly, the patent to Wheeler, Jr. discloses that it is known in the art to employ opposed bores 41 receiving a single pivot or hinge pin for multiple "D" shaped flapper check valve plates, the bores being closed off by plugs 42 for the purpose of sealingly mounting a central hinge pin across the cross section of a dual "D" shaped flapper type check valve in a manner preventing fluid leakage outside of the conduit.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,331

Art Unit: 3753

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Stehling et al. opposed bores receiving a single pivot or hinge pin for multiple "D" shaped flapper check valve plates, the bores being closed off by plugs for the purpose of sealingly mounting a central hinge pin across the cross section of a dual "D" shaped flapper type check valve in a manner preventing fluid leakage outside of the conduit as recognized by Wheeler, Jr.

Thirdly the patent to Kozey discloses that it is known in the art to employ a "locking pin" at 27 for the purpose of locking together two threaded together sections of a hydrant half of a Storz type coupling.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Stehling et al., as modified by McCue, a "locking pin" for the purpose of locking together two threaded together sections as recognized by Kozey.

Regarding claim 9, note in McCue the "the annular seal (5) is made of rubber". The disclosure of "Rubber" in McCue is considered to include "neoprene" as recited.

Regarding claim 10, note in Wheeler, Jr. a "stiffener (at 23) extending across the opening upstream of and aligned with the pintle" or hinge pin of the valve as recited.

Regarding claim 11, to employ a "second blind bore in the internal ring and a second through bore in the external ring aligned with the second blind bore in the internal ring for receiving a second locking pin" is considered to be a mere duplication of the locking pin 27 taught by Kozey. It has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art unless a new and unexpected result is produced. *In re Harza*,274 F.2d 669,124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Regarding claim 12, to physically locate "the blind and through bores in alignment with the locations of the projecting hooks extending from the external ring" is

Art Unit: 3753

considered to be an obvious design expedient relative to the location of the locking pin bore and hook locations of Kozey solving no stated problem and producing no new and/or unexpected result.

Regarding claim 13, in McCue "the coupling is made of steel or aluminum" as recited.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Rivell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4918. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. from 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ John Rivell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753